

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

POLIFFIED LOSSING FEREI SE WE NUMBER PENCE LATE HAR10600 HARAR I 04/13/89 07/337,566 BREAMSER ELMORE, R PHILIP YAU MAJESTIC, PARSONS, SIEBERT & HSUE ART UNIT PASE OF ASIL FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER, STE. 1450 233 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-2141 DATE MARKET 07/15/91 and the second of the second o This action is made final. ☐ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on_ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____ month(s), ____ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part ! THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. X Claims 1-62 _____ are pending in the application. ___ are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims have been cancelled. 2. Claims 3. Claims ___ 4. Claims _____ __ are objected to. 5. Claims ____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 6. X Claims 1 - 62 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. _. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). ______. has (have) been approved by the 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ________ has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received ____ ; filed on ___ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-325 (Rev.9-69)

14. Other



- 1. Claims 1-62 are presented for examination.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a Flash EEPROM with sector select capability for data erasures, classified in Class 365, subclass 218.
- II. Claims 10-29, drawn to error correction of data in the cells of an EEPROM and substituting cells or sectors for defective cells or sectors respectively, classified in Class 371, subclass 10.2.
- III. Claims 30-55, drawn to using cache memory instead of EEPROM to limit read/write access to the EEPROM and using the EEPROM when cache is full, classified in Class 364, subclass 900.
- IV. Claims 56-62, drawn to a memory card for primary storage and to using signals on the system bus for controlling the EEPROM which is used when other memory is unavailable, classified in Class 364, subclass 900.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I (claims 1-9) has separate utility such as use in systems requiring non-volatile memory with the ability to erase

individual sectors and systems not requiring cell or sector substitution, cache memory or control voltages from a system bus. See M.P.E.P. \S 806.05(d).

- 4. Inventions II and I, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II (claims 10-29) has separate utility such as use in systems requiring the ability to substitute cells or sectors for defective cells or sectors and systems not requiring cache memory or control voltages from a system bus.. See M.P.E.P.

 § 806.05(d).
- 5. Inventions III and I, II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III (claims 30-55) has separate utility such as use in systems having cache memory and not systems requiring the capability of erasing selected sectors, the capability of substituting cells or sectors for defective cells or sectors or control voltages from a system bus. See M.P.E.P. § 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions IV and I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case,

invention IV (claims 56-62) has separate utility such as use in systems using control voltages from a system bus to control the Flash EEPROM and not in systems with the capability of erasing selected sectors, the capability of substituting cells or sectors for defective cells or sectors or using cache memory to limit the access to the Flash EEPROM. See M.P.E.P. § 806.05(d).

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have:
- (a) acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification;
- (b) have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter; and
- (c) the search required for each group is different restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that the period for response to this requirement is thirty (30) days, not one month.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reba. I. Elmore whose telephone number is (703) 308-1619.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0754.

Reba I. Elmore

April 29, 1991

STUART N. HECKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 233

Stuart h. Hecker